FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Sunshine Act Meeting

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System

TIME AND DATE: Approximately 10:45 a.m., Thursday, December 21, 2000, following a recess at the conclusion of the open meeting.

PLACE: Marriner S. Eccles Federal Reserve Board Building, 20th and C Streets, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20551. STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

- 1. Personnel actions (appointments, promotions, assignments, reassignments, and salary actions) involving individual Federal Reserve System employees.
- 2. Any matters carried forward from a previously announced meeting.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Lynn S. Fox, Assistant to the Board; 202–452–3204.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: You may call 202–452–3206 beginning at approximately 5 p.m. two business days before the meeting for a recorded announcement of bank and bank holding company applications scheduled for the meeting; or you may contact the Board's Web site at http://www.federalreserve.gov for an electronic announcement that not only lists applications, but also indicates procedural and other information about the meeting.

Dated: December 14, 2000.

Robert deV. Frierson,

Associate Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 00–32369 Filed 12–15–00; 11:14 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Sunshine Act Meeting

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System

FEDERAL REGISTER CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: 65 FR 77880, December 13, 2000.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF THE MEETING: 12 noon, Monday, December 18, 2000.

CHANGES IN THE MEETING:

One of the items announced for inclusion at this meeting was consideration of any agenda items carried forward from a previous meeting; the following such closed item(s) was added: Future capital

framework. (This item was originally announced for a closed meeting on November 20, 2000.)

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Lynn S. Fox, Assistant to the Board; 202–452–3204.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: You may call 202–452–3206 for a recorded announcement of this meeting; or you may contact the Board's Web site at http://www.federalreserve.gov for an electronic announcement. (The Web site also includes procedural and other information about the open meeting.)

Dated: December 15, 2000.

Robert deV. Frierson,

Associate Secretary of the Board.
[FR Doc. 00–32431 Filed 12–15–00; 3:29 pm]
BILLING CODE 6210–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Office for Civil Rights; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973; Notice of Exercise of Authority Under 45 CFR 84.52(d)(2) Regarding Recipients With Fewer Than Fifteen Employees

AGENCY: Office for Civil Rights, HHS. **ACTION:** Notice of exercise of authority under 45 CFR 84.52(d)(2) regarding recipients with fewer than fifteen employees pursuant to section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to 45 CFR 84.52(d)(2), the Director of the Office for Civil Rights may require recipients with fewer than 15 employees to provide auxiliary aids where the provision of such aids would not significantly impair the ability of the recipient to provide its benefits or services. The United States Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) is announcing that it is exercising its authority under 45 CFR 84.52(d)(2) of the regulation implementing Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 29 U.S.C. 794(a), to require recipients with fewer than fifteen employees to provide appropriate auxiliary aids to persons with impaired sensory, manual, or speaking skills, where necessary to afford such persons an equal opportunity to benefit from their services. This is not a new requirement; Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) already requires public accommodations of all sizes to provide auxiliary aids and services to persons with disabilities where necessary to ensure effective communication and Title II of the ADA extends the same requirement to state and local government entities. The vast

majority of entities that receive federal financial assistance from HHS thus are already required to provide auxiliary aids and services to persons with disabilities where necessary to ensure effective communication.

DATES: This guidance is effective immediately.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sheila Foran or Ronald Copeland at the Office for Civil Rights, Room 506F, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 200 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20201, telephone 202–619–0403; TDD 1–800–537–7697. Arrangements to receive the notice in an alternative format may be made by contacting the named individuals.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose of this notice is to inform recipients of federal financial assistance from HHS that the Office for Civil Rights (OCR) will require recipients with fewer than 15 employees to provide auxiliary aids where the provision of aids would not significantly impair the ability of the recipient to provide its benefits or services, and will investigate complaints against health and social services providers with fewer than 15 employees for failure to provide auxiliary aids to individuals with disabilities under Section 504. Determinations of whether the provision of an auxiliary aid or service would impose an undue burden on a small provider will be made on a case-by-case basis. The fact that the provision of any particular auxiliary aid would result in an undue burden does not relieve the provider from the duty to furnish an alternative auxiliary aid, if available, that would not result in such a burden.

OCR has concluded that, in the interest of uniformity and consistent administration of law, Section 504's auxiliary aids requirement should be applied to covered entities with fewer than 15 employees, as is the case under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. Title III of the ADA specifies that no individual shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, and accommodations of any place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. 12182. The term "public accommodation" includes professional offices of health care providers, hospitals, pharmacies, and other service establishments. Under Title III of the ADA, privately operated public accommodations are obligated to provide appropriate auxiliary aids and services, regardless of their size, where necessary to ensure effective communication with individuals with

disabilities, unless they can demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the nature of their program, services or activities, or would result in an undue burden. See 42 U.S.C. 12182(b)(2)(A)(iii). The ADA requires public accommodations, including health and social service providers, to furnish appropriate auxiliary aids to ensure effective communication with individuals with disabilities without the imposition of a surcharge to cover the cost of such measures.

OCR believes that exercising its authority under 45 CFR 84.52(d)(2) is consistent with Congress' intent to ensure consistency between Section 504 and the ADA. 42 U.S.C. 2117(b) of the Americans with Disabilities Act addresses coordination between agencies with enforcement authority under the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Consistent with that provision, agencies must ensure that administrative complaints filed under both the ADA and Section 504 are dealt with in a manner that prevents the imposition of inconsistent or conflicting standards for the same requirements. See, e.g., 42 U.S.C. ss. 12117(b), 12134(b) and 12201(a). Other evidence of Congress' desire for consistent enforcement standards can be found in several amendments to Title V of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. For example, Section 102(f) of the Rehabilitation Act Amendments of 1992, Pub. L. 102-569, incorporated the exclusions from the term "individual with disability" that are set forth in the ADA. Also, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act Amendments of 1992 amended the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 by adding a new subsection to clarify that the standards used for determining whether Section 504 has been violated in a complaint alleging employment discrimination are the same standards applied under the ADA.

As noted above, Title III of the ADA does not require a public accommodation to provide auxiliary aids and services if it can demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the nature of the services being offered or result in an undue burden. The undue burden defense established under the ADA evidences that Congress favored a caseby-case approach for determining a public accommodation's obligation to provide auxiliary aids rather than a broad exemption for small providers. OCR believes that requiring recipients with fewer than 15 employees to provide auxiliary aids under the Section 504 regulation at 45 CFR 84.52(d)(2), where the provision of such aids would

not significantly impair the ability of the recipient to provide its benefits or services, is consistent with the legislative scheme intended by Congress under the ADA.

Most of the entities that receive federal financial assistance from HHS are also subject to the effective communication requirements established under the ADA. OCR is confident that the enforcement of Section 504's auxiliary aids requirement can be applied in a manner that will not unduly burden small providers.

OCR will enforce Section 504 as it applies to recipients' responsibilities under the notice through procedures provided for in the Section 504 regulations. These procedures include complaint investigations, compliance reviews, efforts to secure voluntary compliance and technical assistance. OCR will always provide recipients with a complete opportunity to come into voluntary compliance with Section 504 prior to initiating formal enforcement proceedings, and will provide technical assistance to help entities resolve complaints in a collaborative fashion with OCR.

Dated: December 6, 2000.

Thomas E. Perez,

Director, Office for Civil Rights.

[FR Doc. 00–32194 Filed 12–18–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4150–04–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Bioethics Advisory Committee Request; International Research Ethical and Policy Issues; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice for comment on the draft report of the National Bioethics Advisory Commission (NBAC), *Ethical and Policy Issues in the Oversight of Human Research*.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. Appendix 2), notice is given for comment on a draft report written by the National Bioethics Advisory Commission (NBAC). The Commission will consider all comments it receives as part of its ongoing deliberations in finalizing this report.

Purpose of the Report

In October 1995, President Clinton established NBAC to advise on bioethics and public policy issues related to conducting human research. NBAC makes recommendations to the White House and other departments and agencies. This report, therefore, falls within NBAC's mandate.

Prior to NBAC's creation, in 1994, the Advisory Committee on Human Radiation Experiments (ACHRE) was created to investigate reports of federally sponsored human research involving radioactive materials and to assess the current state of protections for research participants. With regard to the latter charge they found, "evidence of serious deficiencies in some parts of the current system." Specifically, ACHRE was concerned with variability in the quality of IRBs, persistent confusion among human participants as to whether they were involved in research or therapy, and insufficient attention to the implications of diminished decision-making capacity in the consent process. ACHRE also recommended the creation of a national advisory group to examine these issues. When NBAC was established, one of its first priorities was to examine the system for protecting

human research participants.

In May of 1997, NBAC unanimously resolved that "No person in the United States should be enrolled in research without the twin protections of informed consent by an authorized person and independent review of the risks and benefits of the research." The following year, NBAC wrote to the President indicating areas of concern and preliminary findings regarding the oversight of human research in the United States. The key concerns identified were:

• Federal protections for persons serving as subjects in research do not vet extend to all Americans.

• Despite widespread implementation of federal regulations by those departments and agencies sponsoring substantial amounts of biomedical research, a number of departments and agencies who sponsor primarily non-biomedical research or little research overall have failed to implement fully these federal protections.

• Federal protections do not always include specific provisions for especially vulnerable populations of research subjects.

• Many federal agencies find the interpretation and implementation of the Common Rule confusing and/or unnecessarily burdensome.

• Federal protections are difficult to enforce and improve effectively throughout the Federal Government, in part because no single authority or office oversees research protections across all government agencies and departments.

• New techniques are needed to ensure implementation at the local level.